
WICHE's Pilot Data Exchange

Midwestern Higher Education Compact
Annual Commission Meeting
November 17-18, 2013



WICHE's Data Exchange Pilot





Products

- 1. Aggregated analysis tracking human capital development and mobility among four states.
- 2. Enhanced identifiable data returned to state agencies with legitimate interests.
- The infrastructure governance and architecture – necessary to sustain the data exchange and expand to or export to additional states.



Research Questions Embedded in MOA

- 1. What are the patterns of postsecondary enrollment and employment of high school graduates from each participating state?
- 2. What are the patterns of postsecondary enrollment and employment of students in public postsecondary institutions in participating states?
 - Each with appropriate disaggregations –
- 3. By more fully accounting for individual mobility across state lines, to what extent does sharing data among states supplement existing state data resources available for conducting evaluations leading to policy and program improvements?



Data Sources

- Single Source States (State Longitudinal Data Systems that originally compiled the data owned by the responsible agencies in each respective state)
 - Hawaii P-20
 - Idaho Office of the State Board of Education
 - Washington Education Research and Data Center
- Multiple Sources (Oregon)
 - Oregon Department of Education
 - Oregon Department of Community Colleges and Workforce Development
 - Oregon University System
 - Oregon Employment Department



Data Elements Exchanged

- Identity and Demographics
 - Randomly-generated Exchange ID#
- Education (Term)
 - High School Diploma
 - Postsecondary Institution
 - Credits Attempted, Passed
 - Postsecondary Awards
 - Field of Study
- Employment: Unemployment Insurance Wage Records (Quarterly)
 - Social Security Number
 - Gross Wages
 - Employer's Industry Classification



Two Cohorts



B

Public high school graduates from the class of 2005

First-time public postsecondary students in 2005-06



Key for the Next Slide


Enrollment records

Credential(s) earned


Employed in HI

Employed in ID, OR, or WA






Unpacking the "Not Found" Category





Unpacking the "Not Found" Category





How Far Does the Exchange Extend Coverage of Employment Outcomes?

Number of Completers of an Associate's Degree or Higher

Assoc+ Conferred by State	(1) Total Number of Completers by December 2010		(3) Number of Completers With an Employment Record in At Least 1 of the 4 States
Hawaii	3,208	2,403	1,438
Idaho	3,640	3,014	1,956
Oregon	12,429	10,762	7,013
Washington	23,683	20,815	12,310
Elsewhere	7,939	4,471	959
Total	50,899	41,465	23,676

Note: Column 1 awardees for whom no SSN was available, multiple SSNs were found, or the SSN that was found was shared with another individual. These were excluded from Column 2. Column 3 excludes individuals for whom no employment record was found. "Elsewhere" means the award was conferred by a public or private institution anywhere outside of the four states.



Reducing Uncertainty About Employment Outcomes

Among Completers, Whether or Not an Employment Record Exists

		(2)	(3)	
	(1)		Among Completers Without a	
Assoc+	Number of	Completers Without	Employment Record in State, %	
Conferred by	Completers with a	•	With an Employment Record in	
State	Valid SSN	Record in State	At Least 1 of the Other 3 States	
Hawaii	2,403	1,197	19.4%	
Idaho	3,014	1,342	21.3%	
Oregon	10,762	4,366	14.1%	
Washington	20,815	9,368	9.2%	

Column 1: The students for whom an employment record might be obtainable.

Column 2: The number of students for whom an employment record cannot be located within the state that conferred the degree. In other words, employment outcomes for these individuals are not available to a single state's SLDS.

Column 3: The percentage of the completers for which the data exchange found an employment record outside of the state conferring the degree. In other words, this is the share of the employment record information that would remain missing in a single state's SLDS but which can be obtained through the data exchange.



Reducing Uncertainty About Outcomes for Those Without an Employment Record in State


	But:				
Award State	(A) Completers With a Valid SSN	(B) Employment Not Found In-State	(C) Found Employed In Another Exchange State	(D) Not Found Employed, but Found Enrolled	(E) Percent of 'B' Accounted For
Hawaii	2,403	1,197	19.4% +	9.4% =	29%
Idaho	3,014	1,345	21.3%	7.6% =	29%
Oregon	10,762	4,366	14.1% +	- 15.7% =	30%
Washington	20,815	9,368	9.2% -	12.4% =	22%

Notes: Data are for individuals who completed an associate's degree or higher by December 2010. Employment measured 10-12 months after receipt of award, and subsequent enrollment measured for a term concurrent with the quarter employment was sought. Additionally, among the 2,062 completers with no available employment record who were found enrolled instead:

- 19% were found enrolled at a private institution.
- 15% were graduate-level enrollments (only known for Exchange state public institutions).
- For Hawaii and Idaho, 64% of those further enrolled were found in another state; for Oregon and Washington, 28% were.



Mobility of Washington Bachelor's and Higher Graduates by Field of Study





Notes: Data are for individuals who completed by December 2010. Employment measured 10-12 months after receipt of award, and subsequent enrollment measured for a term concurrent with the quarter employment was sought.

Why This Matters: Efforts to Measure Return on Investment

- Gainful employment
- Student Right to Know Before You Go Act
- President Obama's college rankings
- collegemeasures.org

Generally, these are aimed at accountability or consumer information, with very little attention given to improvement of policy or practice.



Questions for Policy and Practice

Policy

- What percentage of graduates (and non-graduates) are employed, both in-state and elsewhere, or pursuing further education?
- How well are state education investments meeting the needs of state industries?
- To what extent is our state retaining our own residents after their studies, or attracting them back if they left for college, as well as non-resident students we educated here?
- What is our "balance of trade" in human capital?

Practice

- Where do our former students go to find employment and in what industries?
- How well are our former students performing after they leave and attempt to enter the workforce?
- Are we offering the right mix of academic programs to serve our state's economic development needs?
- What curricular adjustments might more fully ensure that the programs we offer are delivering the knowledge and skills the labor market demands?

More generally

Now that we have a broad picture, what more do we need to know before we act?



A State's Perspective

Idaho




Idaho System Structure – P-20W SLDS






K-12 SLDS

K-12 schools







Postsecondary Institutions









High School Feedback Reports



P-20 Reports

Labor SLDS



Postsecondary SLDS

Idaho background


- Enrollment
 - ~ 250,000 K-12 students
 - ~ 100,000 public postsecondary students
- Idaho SLDS
 - Started collecting K-12 data in Fall 2010
 - Started work on the postsecondary SLDS the same year
 - Utilize the same EDUID system to assign student unique ID's link to K-12
 - Currently loading summer 2010 forward from postsecondary institutions
- Had to manually collect K-12 data for this effort
 - 150 school districts
 - 8 public postsecondary institutions
- Manually collected data from postsecondary and labor



Idaho perspective

- Why on earth get involved in this?
 - See if we can address questions such as:
 - Do students who leave the state for postsecondary return to work in Idaho?
 - Is this related to specific degrees?
 - Do students that graduate from an Idaho Institution leave the state for employment?
 - If so, what are the characteristics of those students?
 - Are students that have gone to school or worked in multiple states more/less likely to return?
 - Basically are we an importer or exporter of degrees?





Idaho's experience

- What was the hard part?
 - Getting the MOU's in place (approvals) started before FERPA changes
 - Determining what exactly we wanted to exchange
- Is this worthwhile?
 - Yes, because of:
 - Information that couldn't obtain easily elsewhere
 - Contacts / shared experience great people involved
 - Helped shape our data collection
 - Exposed other states perspectives



Where To From Here?

- Additional analyses
 - Subsequent enrollment
 - Wages
 - Industry classifications
 - Employment concurrent with enrollment
 - Employment outcomes based on student enrollment patterns
 - Disaggregations for race/ethnicity, gender, age, Pell
- Moving beyond the pilot phase
 - Secure additional funding
 - Develop a process for adding states



Idaho's Thoughts

- Moving beyond the initial pilot
 - Other states?
 - Exploration of a Centralized ID resolution
 - Assignment of Unique Exchange ID's
 - Maintaining state privacy
 - » My Demographics, return an Idaho ID
 - » Centralized mapping Idaho ID to other state ID
 - » Request submit Idaho ID, sends other states that match their ID
 - Oversight Board
 - Federated model
 - Cost Model
 - Value Proposition
 - Request Management



Lessons So Far

- Multistateness
- Limitations
 - Wedded to the original cohort definition
 - Ambiguity in the UI wage records data
 - (also variance in what states collect in UI)
- Implications for use and Demonstrated Value:
 - Reduced uncertainty about employment outcomes
 - Increased information about mobility of recently educated



Contact Information

Hans L'Orange

Vice President for Research and Information Resources

State Higher Education Executive Officers (SHEEO)

303.541.1606

hlorange@sheeo.org

Andy Mehl

Technology Program Manager

Idaho Office of the State Board of Education

208.332.1586

andy.mehl@osbe.idaho.gov

